

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/749,627

Applicant(s)

GOEL ET AL.

Examiner

Kristie D. Shingles

Art Unit

2141

## All Participants:

(1) Kristie D. Shingles, Examiner.

(2) Karl Renner, Atty.

Status of Application: ALLOWED

(3) Chen Qian, Atty. Tech Specialist.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 7 September 2007

Time: 2:00pm

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 17 and 24-26

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

## Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon consulting with Primary, Examiner contacted Atty for approval to amend the independent claims with subject matter from dependent claims 24-26 for consistency with the inventive scope illustrated in Figures 9 and 10, which display indication/warning text in the second section of the display output. Atty agreed to the Examiner's Amendment and the claims were amended accordingly.